PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERMATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

LIPSCOMBE, Martin, John Keith W Nash & Co 90-92 Regent Street NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF Cambridge CB2 1DP THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY GRANDÉ BRETAGNE REPORT ON PATENTABILITY 2005 (PCT Rule 71.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) 30.08.2005 Applicant's or agent's file reference MJL/C1683.1/M IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/GB2004/004215 04.10.2004 03.10.2003 Applicant MEDICAL RESEARCH COUNCIL et al.

- The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary report on patentability and its annexes, if any, established on the international
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary report on patentability. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 Authorized Officer

Weste, N

Tel. +49 89 2399-5847



BEST AVAILABLE

G

J

Ħ

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

	cant's or agent's file of C1683.1/M	reference	FOR FURTHER AC	CTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416	
International application No. PCT/GB2004/004215			International filing date (04.10.2004	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 03.10.2003)
	ational Patent Class K14/705, A61K3		ational classification and IF	PC .		
	Applicant MEDICAL RESEARCH COUNCIL et al.					
1.	This report is the Authority under A	international pre article 35 and tran	liminary examination re esmitted to the applican	port, established by the according to Article 3	nis International Preliminary Ex 36.	xamining
2.	This REPORT co	nsists of a total o	of 7 sheets, including th	is cover sheet.		
3.	This report is also	accompanied b	y ANNEXES, comprisin	g:		
	a. sent to the	e applicant and to	the International Bure	au) a total of sheets, as follows:		
	and/o	neets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this repond/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the dministrative Instructions).				this report 07 of the
	beyor	s which supersed nd the disclosure lemental Box.	le earlier sheets, but when the international app	nich this Authority con lication as filed, as inc	nsiders contain an amendment dicated in item 4 of Box No. I a	that goes and the
	sequence	listing and/or tab	ureau only) a total of (ir les related thereto, in c Listing (see Section 80	omputer readable forr	per of electronic carrier(s)) , c m only, as indicated in the Sup e Instructions).	ontaining a oplemental
4.	This report conta	ins indications re	lating to the following it	ems:		
	☑ Box No. I	Basis of the opin	nion			
	☐ Box No. II	Priority				
	Box No. III	Non-establishm	ent of opinion with rega	rd to novelty, inventiv	e step and industrial applicabil	lity
	☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity of	invention			
	⊠ Box No. V	Reasoned state applicability; cita	ment under Article 35(2 ations and explanations	e) with regard to novel supporting such state	lty, inventive step or industrial ement	
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docume	nts cited			
	Box No. VII	Certain defects	in the international app	lication		
	☐ Box No. VIII	Certain observa	tions on the internation	al application		
D-4-	of submission of the	domand		Date of completion of	this report	
Date	of submission of the	demanu		Date of completion of	uns report	
29.0	4.2005			30.08.2005		
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:			Authorized Officer		Southernes Patentenn, Ca	
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d			Herrmann, K	in the state of th		
		9 2399 - 4465		Telephone No. +49 89	12399- 2670	cooppositio. onto

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/004215

IAP20 Rec'd PCT. PTO 31 MAR 2006

	Box	No. I	Basis of the rep	ort				
1.	With filed	regard , unles	d to the language , s otherwise indicat	this report is based o	on the international	application in the lan	guage in which it wa	1
		which □ inte □ pub	is the language of ernational search (u blication of the inte	anslations from the o a translation furnishe Inder Rules 12.3 and Inational application (In examination (unde	d for the purposes (23.1(b)) under Rule 12.4)		age ,	
2.	have	e been	furnished to the re	of the international a ceiving Office in resp are not annexed to to	onse to an invitation	ort is based on <i>(replac</i> In under Article 14 are	cement sheets which e referred to in this	f
	Desc	ription	, Pages					
	1-21			as originally filed				
	Clair	ns, Nui	mbers					
	1-21			as originally filed				
	Draw	vings, S	Sheets					
	1 <i>1</i> 6-6	<i>/</i> 6		as originally filed				
		a sequ	ence listing and/or	any related table(s) -	see Supplemental	Box Relating to Sequ	uence Listing	
3.				esulted in the cancella	ation of:			
	;	☐ the	description, pages claims, Nos.					
		☐ the	drawings, sheets/f sequence listing (s	specify):				
		□ any	table(s) related to	sequence listing (sp	ecify):			
4.	had	not bee		y have been conside		annexed to this repo e disclosure as filed,		
			description, pages claims, Nos.					
			drawings, sheets/fi					
				sequence listing (spe	ecify):			
	* -	If it	em 4 applies,	some or all of	these sheets ma	ay be marked "su	iperseded."	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/004215

_						
	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
۱.	The obv	he questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- byious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:				
		the entire international application,				
	\boxtimes	claims Nos. 1-21 (all partially)				
		because:				
		the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 1-8 and 20 relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):				
		see separate sheet				
		the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):				
		the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.				
	\boxtimes	no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos. 1-21 (all partially)				
		the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:				
		the written form		has not been furnished		
				does not comply with the standard		
		the computer readable form		has not been furnished		
				does not comply with the standard		
		the tables related to the nucleo not comply with the technical re	tide a equir	and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do ements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.		
	\boxtimes	See separate sheet for further	detai	Is		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/004215

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

_____(;! ~

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

8, 12, 13, 16 and 19-21

No: Claims

1-7, 9-11, 14, 15, 17 and 18

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

8, 12, 13, 16 and 19-21

No: Claims

1-7, 9-11, 14, 15, 17 and 18

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

9-19 and 21

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

AN 1574196

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REGORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

A20 PCT/GB2004/004215

Citations

The documents mentioned in this Written Opinion are numbered as in the International Search Report (ISR), i.e. **D1** and **D6** correspond to the first and the last document of the search report, respectively. The ISR has been established by this authority.

Re ITEM III (Non-establishment of opinion)

- No search report was established for the subject-matter of <u>claims 1-21</u> (all partially). Consequently, preliminary examination has not been carried out for said claims (Rule 66.1(e) PCT). The International Preliminary Examining Authority (IPEA) agrees with the objection put forward by the International Searching Authority (ISA):
- 1.1 Claims 1-3 and 5-21 cover all substances/the use of all substances having the desired characteristic of modulating, stimulating or activating, respectively, the P2X₇ receptor. However, the application provides support (Art. 6 PCT) and disclosure (Art. 5 PCT) for only a limited number of such substances. Thus, a meaningful search over the whole of the scope claimed is impossible. Consequently, search and examination have been limited to the substances defined on p. 6, last par.-p. 7, I. 2 and their use (ATP, ATP analogues) (cf. Art. 17(2)(a)(ii) PCT).
- 1.2 <u>Claim 4</u> covers all immunoglobulin or immunoglobulin-like variants which possess specific binding activity for the P2X₇ receptor and having the desired characteristic of modulating or stimulating, respectively, the P2X₇ receptor. However, the wording on p. 7, first full par., I. 1-3 shows that the application provides support (Art. 6 PCT) and disclosure (Art. 5 PCT) for no such immunoglobulin or immunoglobulin-like variants: "Other substances which the inventors <u>predict might</u> stimulate the P2X₇ receptor include antibodies and antibody-like variants with specific binding affinity for the P2X₇ receptor".
- Claims 1-8 and 20 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT (in vivo treatment or diagnostic methods practiced on the human or animal body). Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of

these claims (Art. 34(4)(a)(i) PCT).

Re ITEM V (Novelty, inventive step, industrial applicability)

- 1 Novelty (Art. 33(2) PCT)
- 1.1 The subject-matter of <u>claims 8, 12, 13, 16 and 19-21</u> has not been made available to the public by any of the available prior art documents and can therefore be regarded as novel.
- 1.2 The subject-matter of <u>claims 1-7, 9-11, 14, 15, 17 and 18</u> does not meet the requirements of Art. 33(2) and 33(3) PCT.
- 1.3 D1 (Sanz et al.) discloses a method of increasing the effective intracellular concentration of tenidap, an anti-inflammatory drug, within a cell expressing a P2X₇ receptor comprising contacting the cell with said drug and ATP which stimulates the P2X₇ receptor (see e.g. abstract). The subject-matter of claims 1, 2, 4-7, 9-11, 14, 15, 17 and 18 can thus not be regarded as novel. D1 further teaches that cytotoxic effects are visible after treatment of the cells with ATP and tenidap. The subject-matter of claim 3 can thus also not be regarded as novel.
- 1.4 Attention is drawn to the fact that the term "therapeutic molecule" is vague, practically any substance can be considered to fall under said term. **D2** (Verhoef et al.) discloses a method of increasing the effective intracellular concentration of YoPro dye within a cell expressing a P2X₇ receptor comprising contacting the cell with said dye and ATP/BzATP which stimulates the P2X₇ receptor (see e.g. p. 5732, left col., middle). The subject-matter of claims 1, 2, 4-7, 9-11, 14, 15, 17 and 18 can thus not be regarded as novel.
- 1.5 Similar results have been disclosed in **D3** (US6509163) (see e.g. Fig. 7) which therefore also deprives novelty of claims 1, 2, 4-7, 9-11, 14, 15, 17 and 18 (Art. 33(2) and (3) PCT).
- 2 Inventive step (Art. 33(3) PCT)
- 2.1 The subject-matter of <u>claims 8, 12, 13, 16 and 19-21</u> cannot be derived from the available prior art in an obvious manner and therefore complies with the

requirements of Art. 33(3) PCT.

- 2.2 The combination of CD45 inhibition and P2X7 receptor modulation has not been disclosed or suggested in the available prior art (<u>claims 8:..13, 16 and 19</u>). The prior art does not disclose or suggest the inhibition of an efflux protein as defined in <u>claims 12, 20 and 21</u>.
- 3 Industrial application (Art. 33(4) PCT)

Claims 9-19 and 21 meet the criteria as set forth by Art. 33(4) PCT.

Re ITEM VII (Certain defects in the international application)

The present application contains such a high number of independent claims that the application as a whole lacks conciseness (Rule 6.1(a) PCT).